
λ
Pioneering
a new era
of freedom
Join the movement →




Nothing is just a vibe.
Nothing is just a party.
Sometimes, they are doors
to the unimagined future.

Jarrad Hope & Peter Ludlow, Farewell to Westphalia

In this issue...

Building on the Frontier 02
Logos Testnet v0.1: Pioneering a New Era of Freedom 04
Parallel Society 08
Parallel Societies as Transitional Governance 12
There Is No Freedom Without Open Hardware 16
A Stack Gap in Blockchain Privacy 18
Logos Circles 20

Building on the Frontier



2025 was a turning point for Logos as a technical ecosystem and a global grassroots movement. The Logos stack unified into a single, coherent architecture with privacy-by-default messaging, storage, and blockchain components designed to support real-world decentralised applications.

This consolidation marked a shift toward deliberate, integrated systems that empower builders and safeguard civil liberties at scale.

At the same time, the Logos movement matured into something more than code. Across cities and continents, Logos Circles emerged to tackle local challenges, proving that decentralised technologies can be paired with human initiative and collective action to renew civic power from the ground up. From LA to Zanzibar, these groups built trust, solved concrete problems, and showed what it means to pioneer new forms of cooperation and governance outside legacy institutions.

Now, under a unified identity and a refreshed visual language, Logos stands at the frontier of technical innovation and parallel society building. We invite thinkers, builders, and doers to help craft the future with us.



Be curious. Read widely.
Try new things.
What people call intelligence just boils down to curiosity.

Aaron Swartz, hacktivist

Logos Testnet v0.1: Pioneering a New Era of Freedom

We've inherited systems built to serve a different generation. Financial structures lock us into lifelong debt. Platforms monetise surveillance as a business model. Institutions preserve themselves before the people they claim to serve. This is not accidental. It's the outcome of centralised power protecting its own continuity.

But Logos is charting a new course. Towards a future where people can organise, build, and thrive on their own terms. A future where misaligned forces no longer dictate how we communicate, coordinate, and create value. Where civil society (the space between us and the state) can exist without permission. We can build this future, but we must do it from the ground up. We cannot get there by upgrading existing systems. We need a fundamental rethink. We need system-level sovereignty.

Public blockchains decentralise consensus. But the "dapps" deployed on them are vulnerable. Decentralisation stops at the protocol boundary. Browsers. Extensions. RPC providers. Hosted wallets. Third-party infra. These intermediaries dictate experiences and are vulnerable to surveillance, coercion, and capture. If people can't organise privately, collective action is risky.


True sovereignty means removing trust assumptions, not rearranging them. It means designing systems where autonomy is structural, not optional.

Logos is breaking new ground, enshrining sovereignty from the execution layer up. Logos is a complete shift from protocol decentralisation to system decentralisation.

To ensure the stack functions end-to-end, we're calling on the most intrepid among the Logos community to break things with us on Logos Testnet v0.1.

Be warned: This first release is unpolished. Its edges are rough, and things will go wrong. Restarts are expected. It's experimental and intentionally so.

Logos Testnet v0.1 is not for the risk-averse; it's for the trailblazers, the hardcore in the trenches, and those already pushing boundaries. If you've a thirst for adventure and the technical skills to navigate the bleeding edge, we invite you to embark on this journey with us.



Logos Core: Native runtime for local execution.


- **Logos Messaging:** Anonymous messaging between humans or systems.
- **Logos Storage:** Disintermediated storage for durable archiving and app data.
- **Logos Blockchain:** Consensus with network-level privacy.

Logos Testnet v0.1 unites these core components for the first time, opening a new territory where:

- **Apps run locally.**
- **Communication and storage are P2P.**
- **Discovery and access are permissionless.**

We're waiting for you on the frontier.
Run a node. Build on Testnet v0.1.





If you're not lost, you're not much of an explorer.

John Perry Barlow, Electronic Frontier Foundation

PARALLEL SOCIETY

[counter culture convergence]

Institute of Free Technology

λ

Sad

zanzalu

NYM

PsyDAO

shutter

Layer X

Tor

urbe.eth

KLEROS

instituto new.economy

THE BLOCK

CHARTER CITIES INSTITUTE

CODA 2026

MONERO KONFERENCO 5 PRAHA - 2025

Zano

Nimbus

INTERNET ARCHIVE

dEdu

Dark.fi

Free Republic of LIBERLAND

COMMON SENSE

MONERO KONFERENCO 6

status

status.network

keycard

WIN privacy I

Spritz

CAPITAL

CO-OP

MARVILA

culture connect

FÁBRICA MODERNA

Rare Effect

zuafrique

ZU-GRĀMA

AFRO JAMS LX

A temporary autonomous zone where tech, music, and community converge.

Human-first. Not-for-profit. Co-curated. Collectively organised.


Day 1 [build. govern. cooperate.]

Talks, workshops, and experimental sessions shaped by participants across five zones curated by a coalition of hacktivists, builders, visionaries, and disrupters.


FOSS	Open infrastructure. Verifiable systems. Hack. Patch. Fork. Improve.
Decentralisation	Design systems that can't be gatekept.
Privacy	Practical cryptography for digital self-defence.
Community	Bridging the digital divide.
Culture	Culture shapes coordination. Narratives bring systems to life.

Day 2 [culture. freedom. expression.]


A full day and night of live performances, DJ sets, and audio-visual journeys uniting international artists and Portugal's underground scene.



... and many more.




Parallel Society
Remix society with us.



Farewell to Westphalia explores blockchain's application to all levels of human governance. Ereader, physical, and open-source editions available now.

Parallel Societies as Transitional Governance



Sterlin Lujan
Logos

Parallel societies exist, even if we do not yet call them by that name. DAOs coordinate treasuries larger than those of many municipalities. Online communities enforce rules and norms without the need for state oversight. Special economic zones experiment with alternative regulatory regimes and blockchain token-economic designs.

These developments are not merely theoretical experiments or unrealistic ambitions; they are prefigurative institutions currently in operation. Serving as experimental laboratories, they embody governance models that may become predominant in the future. As Jarrad Hope and Peter Ludlow contend in *Farewell to Westphalia: Crypto Sovereignty and Post-Nation-State Governance*, this period marks the dissolution of the Westphalian order itself.

The nation state, they observe, is an obsolete form of governance. It is nearly 380 years old and showing its age. Just as we no longer rely on the astrolabe, invented around 220 BCE, for navigation, we should not assume that political technologies from the 17th century represent the pinnacle of human organisational capacity.

The question is not whether this transition is happening, but what will replace the failing nation-state system. Hope and Ludlow's answer is straightforward: blockchain communities.

These are not nation states seeking diplomatic recognition, but autonomous communities organised around cryptographic protocols that enable "decentralised-yet-cooperative human activities".

The distinction is important. Where Srinivasan envisions network states ultimately seeking legitimacy from existing governments, Hope and Ludlow argue that blockchain communities derive their legitimacy from their ability to coordinate human action effectively, without legacy entities or corrupt regimes.

This represents a fundamental reimagining of sovereignty itself. Traditional sovereignty was territorial, exclusive, and recognised through diplomatic channels. Post-Westphalian sovereignty, by contrast, is polycentric: overlapping, flexible, voluntary, and grounded in the capacity to meet human needs rather than control territory. Blockchain communities demonstrate that sovereignty is not singular but plural, that multiple

forms of legitimate governance can coexist and even overlap. This reimagining of sovereignty may seem abstract, but the technical infrastructure making it possible is already here. Hope and Ludlow point to projects like the Logos Blockchain (formerly Nomos), a sovereign, modular, and credibly neutral coordination layer designed to enable the emergence of networked communities.

Logos exemplifies the shift from state-based governance to protocol-based coordination. Rather than relying on centralised authorities to maintain order, Logos uses cryptographic tools to create Stafford Beer's vision of "liberty machines": distributed feedback structures that enable communities to self-organise without recreating broken, centralised hierarchies.



The vision is ambitious but grounded in existing technological capabilities. Blockchain communities can maintain transparent, immutable archives that resist censorship and tampering, ensuring the integrity of their records. They can coordinate economic activity through sound monetary policy, free from the hyperinflation and currency debasement that plague nation states. They can enable secure, decentralised communication that protects privacy while maintaining transparency in governance. Zero-knowledge cryptography adds another critical dimension to this technological foundation. It allows one party to prove they possess certain information without revealing the information itself. For instance, a user could prove they know the password to an account without

transmitting the password, or demonstrate they hold sufficient funds for a transaction without disclosing their balance. Participants can verify their eligibility to join a community, vote in governance decisions, or access specific services without exposing their identity or personal data. Zero-knowledge proofs enable privacy-preserving governance, where accountability and transparency coexist with personal anonymity. This transforms the relationship between individuals and institutions, removing the surveillance apparatus that has historically been inextricably linked to centralised governance.

The parallel societies emerging today are the culmination of an intellectual project that has spanned more than half a century. Cybernetics demonstrated that distributed systems could achieve coordination without hierarchy. Post-industrial thinkers recognised that information technology would dissolve mass institutions. Computer-mediated communication has demonstrated that virtual communities can develop their own governance. The cypherpunks took these insights and turned them into working code. What unites them is a shared conviction: centralised control is incompatible with civil liberty, and the tools to escape it must be engineered into existence.

Parallel societies and the technologies enabling them are the product of ideologically-driven efforts to move away from centralisation and institutional control. This was not inevitable. It required theorists willing to question the necessity of top-down governance, engineers willing to build alternatives, and communities willing to experiment. The nation state is failing because it was built for a different world. The Westphalian order cannot adapt to instantaneous global communication, borderless economic activity, and citizens who can exit jurisdictions without leaving their homes. What replaces it is already taking shape. DAOs coordinate resources across continents. Blockchain communities maintain records beyond the reach of censors. Zero-knowledge cryptography enables accountability without surveillance, and blockchain communities set the stage for a new kind of human governance paradigm. These are real human networks, not speculative pulp dreamt up by an obscure science fiction writer.

The transition from centralised to distributed governance is now underway. The architects of this transition gave us more than technology. They provided us with a blueprint for voluntary coordination at a civilisational scale. The story of the network is still being written. We are its authors, and we must continue championing the cause.

The above is an excerpt from a longer article, "Story of the Network: From Cybernetics to Blockchain Communities", available on Logos Press Engine.

Read more

There Is No Freedom Without Open Hardware



Guy-Louis Grau
Keycard

Hardware is where trust begins.

Every encrypted message, every signed transaction, every private key you hold runs on a physical device. If that device is closed, your security is a manufacturer's policy decision, and policies change. Firmware gets updated, vendors get pressured, and when you can't verify what's running underneath, you're not securing anything. You're hoping.

You can't build freedom on someone else's infrastructure.

We're building tools for self-governing communities: communication, storage, consensus, identity. But closed hardware has an owner, and it's not you. When your nodes, your keys, and your communications all depend on devices that answer to someone else, you are not exiting the system.

Open hardware survives.

Schematics anyone can audit, firmware anyone can compile, designs that can be forked and reproduced and carried forward. If a vendor disappears, the designs persist. If a state bans the device, it can be rebuilt elsewhere. No single point of failure, no one who can change the rules in silence. Hardware that can't be captured, because it was never owned.

This is why we build Keycard: a fully open, verifiable secure element you actually own. One piece of a broader effort to make every layer of the stack inspectable and accountable to its users.

- **Open-source** software, hardware, and mechanical design

- **Secure element** in a smart card, build and flash your own

- **Immutable** security, no remote updates, no silent changes


- **Air-gapped** signing via QR codes (built-in camera)

The designs are public.
The code is free.
Come build with us.


Join community

Keycard.tech

240×320 LCD screen




Card reader module




Heavy-duty silicon keypad






Main board with ARM Cortex-M33 MCU

Smart card with secure element



Global shutter camera
(to scan QRs)



BL-4C removable battery



High Temperature Area

Case with open design,
print your own



A Stack Gap in Blockchain Privacy



Corey Petty
Logos

Analysing a blockchain transaction's lifecycle makes it clear that while exceptional advances are being made on application-layer privacy solutions such as zero-knowledge proofs and mixnets, there is a gap in the privacy stack.

Zero-knowledge proofs, for example, hide transaction content from surveillance, but they do not obscure the IP address of the submitter, the time of submission, the RPC endpoint, smart contract metadata, or wallet infrastructure.

A user employing Railgun's zk-SNARKs for transaction privacy while using Infura as their RPC provider has their IP address linked to their wallet. A mixer user who checks their output address on Etherscan has their IP correlated with their address through Google Analytics. A stealth address recipient who accesses funds via a compromised frontend has their transaction intercepted in its entirety.

The industry has invested heavily in cryptographic privacy at the application layer, while leaving coordination, network, infrastructure, and frontend layers largely exposed.



For most adversaries, breaking cryptography is unnecessary when simple observation, subpoenas, or frontend compromise suffice.

When considering potential blockchain privacy exposures beyond application-layer solutions, three central issues jeopardise user privacy the most. First, IP-to-address correlation. Once established, this link propagates through the entire analytics ecosystem. Second, centralisation. A small number of RPC providers, block builders, analytics firms, and frontend hosts form natural surveillance hubs beneath an ostensibly decentralised protocol layer.




Third, the browser and application security crisis. Users interact with "trustless" systems through centralised, potentially vulnerable web infrastructure and applications. Recent incidents have shown that smart contract security is irrelevant if the interface is compromised.

> Application-layer privacy tools address only a fraction of total exposure. Most leakage occurs elsewhere, often in ways users cannot meaningfully control.

Until privacy is treated as a full-stack problem, blockchain privacy will remain partial, fragile, and easily undermined by the lack of robust privacy-preserving infrastructure for the large-scale digital communication it relies on.

This excerpt is from a longer article, "The Anatomy of Exposure: How Blockchain Transactions Leak Information at Every Layer", available on Logos Press Engine.

Read more



Logos Circles

What are Logos Circles?

Logos Circles are self-organised groups that take collective action to solve issues that matter locally. They are a form of parallel governance, existing between individuals and the state. Instead of reforming broken or rigged institutions, they build better ones that live on or are sustained by the network. Circles respond to local needs, build trust on the ground by solving problems, and experiment with real-world applications of Logos tech and values.



Why Logos Circles?

Civil society is weakening. Trust in institutions is eroding, local organisations are disappearing, and people are more and more disconnected from the places and communities they live in. When institutions fail, we're left isolated and real problems go unsolved.

Logos Circles are an effort to reverse this. By organising locally and acting collectively, Circles rebuild the foundations of civil society: trust, cooperation, and shared responsibility. Instead of waiting for reform from above, they create durable institutions from the ground up. These parallel public services are sustained by the network and accountable to the people they serve.



How I can help?

Logos Circles are forming all over the world. If you want to take part, first check see if there is already one near you. If there is, show up, connect with your peers, and get involved in their ongoing initiatives. If there isn't already a Circle in your area, check the guide and start one!



Own your network.
Own your future.
Become a founding voice
in the movement.

Find your Circle

Circles Guide

λ

Logos unifies private-by-default communication; durable, robust storage; and sovereign consensus infrastructure into a single ecosystem designed for real-world use.

Built for builders, explorers, and communities committed to forging a new path forward, it thrives outside of the self-serving institutions we've inherited.

Logos equips people with the tools to regain agency, resist capture, and craft alternatives to these brittle, centralised systems.

Build Logos with us